

APPROXIMATIONS OF SUBHOMOGENEOUS ALGEBRAS

TATIANA SHULMAN AND OTGONBAYAR UUYE

ABSTRACT. Let $n \geq 1$. Recall that a C^* -algebra is said to be n -subhomogeneous if all its irreducible representations have dimension at most n . In this short note, we give various approximation properties characterising n -subhomogeneous C^* -algebras.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let A and B be C^* -algebras and let $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ be a bounded linear map. For each integer $n \geq 1$, we can define maps

$$\phi \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{M}_n}: A \otimes \mathbb{M}_n \rightarrow B \otimes \mathbb{M}_n, \quad (1)$$

where \mathbb{M}_n denote the C^* -algebra of $n \times n$ -matrices. We say that ϕ is n -positive if $\phi \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{M}_n}$ is positive and n -contractive if $\phi \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{M}_n}$ is contractive. We say that a map is *completely positive* (*completely contractive*) if it is n -positive (n -contractive) for all $n \geq 1$. As usual, we abbreviate *completely positive* by c.p., *contractive and completely positive* by c.c.p., *unital and completely positive* by u.c.p. and *completely contractive* by c.c. Note that u.c.p. maps are c.c.p. and c.c.p. maps are c.c. by the Stinespring dilation theorem [Sti55, Theorem 1].

Finite-dimensional approximation properties of maps and C^* -algebras play an important role in the study of C^* -algebras. See [BO08] for a comprehensive treatment.

Definition 1.1. A c.c.p. map $\theta: A \rightarrow B$ is said to be *nuclear* if there exist *finite-dimensional* C^* -algebras F_α and nets of c.c.p. maps $\phi_\alpha: A \rightarrow F_\alpha$ and $\psi_\alpha: F_\alpha \rightarrow B$ such that for all $x \in A$,

$$\|(\theta - \psi_\alpha \circ \phi_\alpha)(x)\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2)$$

Definition 1.2. A C^* -algebra A is said to be *nuclear* if the identity map $\text{id}_A: A \rightarrow A$ is nuclear and *exact* if there exists a faithful representation $\pi: A \rightarrow B(H)$ which is nuclear.

The following is the standard example.

Example 1.3. Let Γ be a countable discrete group. Then the *reduced group* C^* -algebra $C_\lambda^*(\Gamma)$ is nuclear if and only if Γ is amenable. In particular, the reduced group C^* -algebra $C_\lambda^*(F_2)$ of a free group on two generators is non-nuclear. See [BO08, Section 2.6].

Date: March 1, 2013.

It is well known that a C^* -algebra is nuclear if and only if the identity map is a point-norm limit of finite-rank c.c.p. maps. On the other hand, it was shown by De Cannière and Haagerup that the identity map on $C_\lambda^*(F_2)$ is a point-norm limit of finite-rank c.c. maps (cf. [DCH85, Corollary 3.11]). This is in contrast to the following theorem of Smith, which says that we recover nuclearity if we insist that the finite-rank c.c. maps to factor through finite-dimensional C^* -algebras.

Theorem 1.4 (Smith [Smi85]). *A C^* -algebra A is nuclear if and only if there exist finite-dimensional C^* -algebras F_α and nets of c.c. maps $\phi_\alpha: A \rightarrow F_\alpha$ and $\psi_\alpha: F_\alpha \rightarrow A$ such that for all $x \in A$,*

$$\|(\text{id}_A - \psi_\alpha \circ \phi_\alpha)(x)\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3)$$

□

All *abelian* C^* -algebras are nuclear. In fact, the standard proof based on partition of unities shows that one can take the finite-dimensional C^* -algebras F_α 's to be abelian and c.c.p. maps ϕ_α 's to be $*$ -homomorphisms (cf. [BO08, Proposition 2.4.2]).

Our investigation grew out of the following simple question.

Question 1.5. Suppose that there exist finite-dimensional *abelian* C^* -algebras F_α and nets of c.c.p. maps $\phi_\alpha: A \rightarrow F_\alpha$ and $\psi_\alpha: F_\alpha \rightarrow A$ such that for all $x \in A$,

$$\|(\text{id}_A - \psi_\alpha \circ \phi_\alpha)(x)\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4)$$

Can we conclude that A is abelian? Can we still conclude that A is abelian if we assume that the maps ϕ_α and ψ_α are only c.c.?

Not surprisingly, the answer is YES. In this paper we prove the following.

Let $n \geq 1$. Recall that a C^* -algebra is said to be *n -subhomogeneous* if all its irreducible representations have dimension $\leq n$. Clearly, a C^* -algebra is abelian if and only if it is 1-subhomogeneous. A finite-dimensional C^* -algebra is n -subhomogeneous if and only if it is a finite product of matrix algebras \mathbb{M}_k of size $k \leq n$.

Theorem 1.6. *Let A be a C^* -algebra and let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) *The C^* -algebra A is n -subhomogeneous.*
- (2) *There exist nets of $*$ -homomorphisms $\phi_\alpha: A \rightarrow F_\alpha$ and c.c.p. maps $\psi_\alpha: F_\alpha \rightarrow A$, with F_α finite dimensional and n -subhomogeneous, such that for all $x \in A$,*

$$\|x - \psi_\alpha \circ \phi_\alpha(x)\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5)$$

- (3) *There exist nets of c.c.p. maps $\phi_\alpha: A \rightarrow F_\alpha$ and $\psi_\alpha: F_\alpha \rightarrow A$, with F_α (finite dimensional and) n -subhomogeneous, such that for all $x \in A$,*

$$\|x - \psi_\alpha \circ \phi_\alpha(x)\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty. \quad (6)$$

- (4) *There exist nets of c.c. maps $\phi_\alpha: A \rightarrow F_\alpha$ and $\psi_\alpha: F_\alpha \rightarrow A$, with F_α (finite dimensional and) n -subhomogeneous, such that for all $x \in A$,*

$$\|x - \psi_\alpha \circ \phi_\alpha(x)\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty. \quad (7)$$

Proof. The nontrivial implications are (1) \Rightarrow (2), (3) \Rightarrow (1) and (4) \Rightarrow (1). See Theorem 1.7 below. \square

Our proof is based on the solution of the Choi conjecture [Cho72], due to Tomiyama [Tom82] and Smith [Smi83], and a contractive analogue of the Choi conjecture (see Theorem 2.15). See also [Loe75] and [HT83].

The following is a summary of the results.

Theorem 1.7. *Let A be a C^* -algebra and let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) *The C^* -algebra A is n -subhomogeneous.*
- (2) *There exist nets of $*$ -homomorphisms $\phi_\alpha: A \rightarrow F_\alpha$ and c.c.p. maps $\psi_\alpha: F_\alpha \rightarrow A$, with F_α finite dimensional and n -subhomogeneous, such that for all $x \in A$,*

$$\|x - \psi_\alpha \circ \phi_\alpha(x)\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty. \quad (8)$$

- (3) *There exist nets of n -positive maps $\phi_\alpha: A \rightarrow F_\alpha$ and $\psi_\alpha: F_\alpha \rightarrow A$, with F_α finite dimensional and n -subhomogeneous, such that for all $x \in A$,*

$$\|x - \psi_\alpha \circ \phi_\alpha(x)\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty. \quad (9)$$

- (4) *All n -positive maps with domain and/or range A are completely positive.*
- (5) *There exist nets of n -contractive maps $\phi_\alpha: A \rightarrow F_\alpha$ and $(n+1)$ -contractive maps $\psi_\alpha: F_\alpha \rightarrow A$, with F_α finite dimensional and n -subhomogeneous, such that for all $x \in A$,*

$$\|x - \psi_\alpha \circ \phi_\alpha(x)\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty. \quad (10)$$

- (6) *All n -contractive maps with range A are completely contractive.*

Proof. See Theorems 2.9, 2.11 and 2.16. \square

In section 3, we show that even weaker approximation property characterises abelianness. See Theorem 3.2.

Acknowledgements. The authors were partially supported by the Danish Research Council through the Centre for Symmetry and Deformation at the University of Copenhagen. The second author is an EPSRC fellow.

2. SUBHOMOGENEOUS ALGEBRAS

Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer.

Definition 2.1. We say that a C^* -algebra is n -subhomogeneous if all its irreducible representations have dimension $\leq n$.

Example 2.2. A finite-dimensional C^* -algebra is n -subhomogeneous if and only if it is a finite product of matrix algebras \mathbb{M}_k with $k \leq n$.

In the following, we summarise some well-known properties of n -subhomogeneous C^* -algebras. See also [Bla06, IV.1.4].

Proposition 2.3. *Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. The following statements hold.*

- (1) *A C^* -subalgebra of a n -subhomogeneous algebra is n -subhomogeneous.*
- (2) *A C^* -algebra A is n -subhomogeneous if and only if $A \subseteq \mathbb{M}_n(B)$ for some abelian C^* -algebra B .*
- (3) *A C^* -algebra A is n -subhomogeneous if and only if its bidual A^{**} is n -subhomogeneous as a C^* -algebra.*
- (4) *The product/sum of C^* -algebras A_i , $i \in I$, is n -subhomogeneous if and only if each A_i , $i \in I$, is n -subhomogeneous.*
- (5) *Let $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$ be an extension of C^* -algebras. Then A is n -subhomogeneous if and only if I and B are n -subhomogeneous.*

Proof. (1) Follows from [Ped79, Proposition 4.1.8].

(2) If A is n -subhomogeneous, then $A \subseteq \mathbb{M}_n(l^\infty(\widehat{A}))$, where \widehat{A} denote the set of unitary equivalence classes of irreducible representations of A . The other direction follows from (1).

(3) Since $A \subseteq A^{**}$, if A^{**} is n -subhomogeneous, then so is A by (1). Conversely, if A is n -subhomogeneous, then writing $A \subseteq \mathbb{M}_n(B)$ with B abelian using (2), we see that $A^{**} \subseteq \mathbb{M}_n(B^{**})$. We are done by (2), since B^{**} is abelian.

(4) Follows from (2).

(5) Follows from (3) and (4), since $A^{**} \cong I^{**} \oplus B^{**}$. □

The structure of n -subhomogeneous C^* -algebras can be rather complicated (see for instance [TT61]). However, the situation for von Neumann algebras is well-known to be very simple.

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose that a von Neumann algebra M is n -subhomogeneous as a C^* -algebra. Then*

$$M \cong \prod_{k \leq n} \mathbb{M}_k(B_k), \tag{11}$$

where B_k , $k \leq n$, are abelian von Neumann algebras.

Proof. Since exactness passes to C^* -subalgebras, n -subhomogeneous algebras are exact by Proposition 2.3(2). Now [BO08, Proposition 2.4.9] completes the proof. □

Subhomogeneous algebras are type I, hence nuclear (cf. [BO08, Proposition 2.7.7]). Scrutinizing the proof, we see that the following slightly stronger approximation property holds. We consider the unital case first.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $n \geq 1$ and let A be a unital n -subhomogeneous C^* -algebra. Then there exist finite-dimensional n -subhomogeneous C^* -algebras F_α and nets of unital $*$ -homomorphisms $\phi_\alpha: A \rightarrow F_\alpha$ and u.c.p. maps $\psi_\alpha: F_\alpha \rightarrow A$ such that for all $x \in A$,*

$$\|x - \psi_\alpha \circ \phi_\alpha(x)\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty. \quad (12)$$

Definition 2.6. Let A and B be unital C^* -algebras. We say a u.c.p. map $\theta: A \rightarrow B$ is n -factorable if it can be expressed as a composition $\theta = \psi \circ \phi$, where $\phi: A \rightarrow F$ is a unital $*$ -homomorphism and $\psi: F \rightarrow B$ a u.c.p. map and F a finite-dimensional n -subhomogeneous C^* -algebra.

Lemma 2.7. *For any unital C^* -algebras A and B , the set of n -factorable maps $A \rightarrow B$ is convex.*

Proof. The proof of [BO08, Lemma 2.3.6] applies. \square

Lemma 2.8. *Let F be a finite-dimensional C^* -algebra and let A be a unital C^* -algebra. Then u.c.p. maps $F \rightarrow A^{**}$ can be approximated by u.c.p. maps $F \rightarrow A$ in the point-ultraweak topology.*

Proof. We claim that c.p. maps $F \rightarrow A$ correspond bijectively to positive elements in $F \otimes A$. Indeed, for matrix algebras this is a well-known result of Arveson (cf. [BO08, Proposition 1.5.12]). The general case follows, since F is a finite product of matrix algebras and for c.p. maps finite products and finite coproducts coincide. Since positive elements in $F \otimes A$ is ultraweakly dense in the positive elements in $F \otimes A^{**} \cong (F \otimes A)^{**}$, we see that c.p. maps $F \rightarrow A^{**}$ can be approximated by c.p. maps $F \rightarrow A$ in the point-ultraweak topology.

Let $\psi: F \rightarrow A^{**}$ be a u.c.p. map and let $\psi_\lambda: F \rightarrow A$ be a net of c.p. maps converging to ψ in the point-ultraweak topology. Since $\psi_\lambda(1_F) \in A$ is a net converging to 1_A weakly, by passing to convex linear combinations, we may assume that $\psi_\lambda(1_F)$ converges to 1_A in norm and passing to a subnet we may assume that $\psi_\lambda(1_F)$ is invertible. Then $\tilde{\psi}_\lambda(x) := \psi_\lambda(1_F)^{-1/2} \psi_\lambda(x) \psi_\lambda(1_F)^{-1/2}$, $x \in F$, gives the required approximation. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.5. For $n = 1$ and A unital abelian, the claim follows from the classical proof of nuclearity for abelian algebras (cf. [BO08, Proposition 2.4.2]).

For general n , first assume that A is of the form

$$\prod_{k \leq n} \mathbb{M}_k(A_k), \quad (13)$$

where A_k , $k \leq n$, are unital abelian C^* -algebras. Then the claim is easily deduced from the case $n = 1$.

Now we consider a general n -subhomogeneous A . By Proposition 2.3(3) and Lemma 2.4, the bidual A^{**} is of the form (13), hence $\text{id}_{A^{**}}$ can be approximated by n -factorable maps $A^{**} \rightarrow A^{**}$ in point-norm topology. Then by Lemma 2.8, id_A can be approximated by n -factorable maps in

point-weak topology. Now Lemma 2.7 and [BO08, Lemma 2.3.4] completes the proof. \square

As a corollary we obtain the following.

Theorem 2.9. *Let A be a C^* -algebra and let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. Then A is n -subhomogeneous if and only if there exist nets of $*$ -homomorphisms $\phi_\alpha: A \rightarrow F_\alpha$ and c.c.p. maps $\psi_\alpha: F_\alpha \rightarrow A$, with F_α finite-dimensional n -subhomogeneous, such that for all $x \in A$,*

$$\|x - \psi_\alpha \circ \phi_\alpha(x)\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty. \quad (14)$$

Proof. (\Rightarrow) The unitization A^+ is n -subhomogeneous, hence id_{A^+} can be approximated as in Theorem 2.5. Now restrict ϕ_α to A and replace ψ_α by $e_\beta \psi_\alpha e_\beta$, where e_β is an approximate unit in A (cf. [BO08, Exercise 2.3.4]).

(\Leftarrow) Clearly A is a C^* -subalgebra of $\prod_\alpha F_\alpha$. By Proposition 2.3(4 & 1), A is n -subhomogeneous. \square

It turns out that much weaker approximation properties imply n -subhomogeneity. Our first result depends on the following.

Theorem 2.10 (Choi, Tomyama, Smith). *Let A and B be C^* -algebras and let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. Then all n -positive maps $A \rightarrow B$ are completely positive if and only if A or B is n -subhomogeneous.*

Proof. Choi proved the sufficiency (\Leftarrow) for $A = \mathbb{M}_n(D)$ (cf. [Cho72, Theorem 8]) and $B = \mathbb{M}_n(D)$ (cf. [Cho72, Theorem 7]) with D abelian and conjectured the necessity (\Rightarrow). A complete proof is obtained by Tomiyama (cf. [Tom82, Theorem 1.2]). The necessity was also proved by Smith (cf. [Smi83, Theorem 3.1]). \square

Theorem 2.11. *Let A be a C^* -algebra and let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) *There exist nets of n -positive maps $\phi_\alpha: A \rightarrow F_\alpha$ and $\psi_\alpha: F_\alpha \rightarrow A$, with F_α finite-dimensional n -subhomogeneous, such that for all $x \in A$,*

$$\|x - \psi_\alpha \circ \phi_\alpha(x)\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty. \quad (15)$$

- (2) *All n -positive maps with domain A are completely positive.*
- (3) *All n -positive maps with range A are completely positive.*
- (4) *All n -positive maps $A \rightarrow A$ are completely positive.*
- (5) *The C^* -algebra A is n -subhomogeneous.*

Proof. Let $\phi_\alpha: A \rightarrow F_\alpha$ and $\psi_\alpha: F_\alpha \rightarrow A$ be a n -positive approximation of id_A in point-norm topology, with F_α (finite-dimensional) n -subhomogeneous. Let $\theta: A \rightarrow B$ be a n -positive map. Then $\theta \circ \psi_\alpha: F_\alpha \rightarrow B$ is a n -positive map with n -subhomogeneous domain, hence c.p. map by Theorem 2.10 and $\phi_\alpha: A \rightarrow F_\alpha$ is a n -positive map with n -subhomogeneous range, hence also c.p. Since θ is the point-norm limit of $(\theta \circ \psi_\alpha) \circ \phi_\alpha$, we see that θ is c.p. Hence (1) \Rightarrow (2). Similarly (1) \Rightarrow (3).

The implications $(2) \Rightarrow (4)$ and $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$ are clear and the implication $(4) \Rightarrow (5)$ is immediate from Theorem 2.10. Finally, the implication $(5) \Rightarrow (1)$ follows from Theorem 2.9. \square

Remark 2.12. The sufficiency in Theorem 2.10 can be deduced from the cases $A = \mathbb{M}_n$ (cf. [Cho72, Theorem 6]) and $B = \mathbb{M}_n$ (cf. [Cho72, Theorem 5]) using Theorem 2.11.

Now we consider the contractive analogue.

Lemma 2.13. *Let $\tau_n: \mathbb{M}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n$, $n \geq 1$, denote the transpose map and let $m \geq 1$. Then*

$$\|\tau_n \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{M}_m}: \mathbb{M}_n \otimes \mathbb{M}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n \otimes \mathbb{M}_m\| = \min\{m, n\}. \quad (16)$$

Proof. For $n \leq m$, this is well-known. The general case follows from the identity

$$(\tau_n \otimes \tau_m) \circ (\tau_n \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{M}_m}) = \text{id}_{\mathbb{M}_n} \otimes \tau_m, \quad (17)$$

since $\tau_n \otimes \tau_m$ can be identified with τ_{nm} , hence an isometry. \square

Corollary 2.14. *Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer. Then the map*

$$\frac{1}{n-1} \tau_n: \mathbb{M}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n \quad (18)$$

is $(n-1)$ -contractive, but not n -contractive.

As a corollary, we obtain the following contractive analogue of Theorem 2.10. Note that we have only one of the directions (cf. [Loe75, Theorem C]).

Theorem 2.15. *Let A and B be C^* -algebras and let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. If A and B both admit irreducible representations of dimension $\geq (n+1)$, then there exists an n -contractive map $A \rightarrow B$ which is not $(n+1)$ -contractive.*

Proof. The proof of [Smi83, Theorem 3.1] applies. See also [Tom82, Lemma 1.1 & Theorem 1.2] \square

Theorem 2.16. *Let A be a C^* -algebra and let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) *There exist nets of n -contractive maps $\phi_\alpha: A \rightarrow F_\alpha$ and $(n+1)$ -contractive maps $\psi_\alpha: F_\alpha \rightarrow A$, with F_α finite-dimensional n -subhomogeneous, such that for all $x \in A$,*

$$\|x - \psi_\alpha \circ \phi_\alpha(x)\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty. \quad (19)$$

- (2) *All n -contractive maps with range A are $(n+1)$ -contractive.*
- (3) *All n -contractive maps with range A are completely contractive.*
- (4) *All n -contractive maps $A \rightarrow A$ are $(n+1)$ -contractive.*
- (5) *All n -contractive maps $A \rightarrow A$ are completely contractive.*
- (6) *The C^* -algebra A is n -subhomogeneous.*

Proof. We prove the implications

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 (1) & \Longleftarrow & (6) & \Longrightarrow & (3) \\
 \Downarrow & \nearrow & \Uparrow & & \Downarrow \\
 (2) & \Longrightarrow & (4) & \Longleftarrow & (5)
 \end{array} \quad (20)$$

The implications $(3) \Rightarrow (5)$, $(5) \Rightarrow (4)$ and $(2) \Rightarrow (4)$ are clear. The implication $(4) \Rightarrow (6)$ follows from Theorem 2.15 and the implication $(6) \Rightarrow (1)$ follows from Theorem 2.9. The implication $(6) \Rightarrow (3)$ follows from [Smi83, Theorem 2.10]. Since $(3) \Rightarrow (2)$ is clear, we also have $(6) \Rightarrow (2)$.

Finally, the implication $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ is analogous to the proof of Theorem 2.11($(1) \Rightarrow (3)$). \square

Compare with the Loeb conjecture [Loe75], solved affirmatively by Huruya-Tomiyama [HT83] and Smith [Smi83].

Remark 2.17. Note that the statement

(7) All n -contractive maps with domain A are $(n+1)$ -contractive.

is *not* equivalent to the conditions in Theorem 2.16 in general (cf. [Loe75, Theorem C]).

3. THE ABELIAN CASE

Specialising to $n = 1$ in Theorem 2.11, we obtain the following.

Theorem 3.1. *Let A be a C^* -algebra. Suppose that there exist nets of contractive positive maps $\phi_\alpha: A \rightarrow F_\alpha$ and $\psi_\alpha: F_\alpha \rightarrow A$, with F_α abelian, such that for all $x \in A$,*

$$\|x - \psi_\alpha \circ \phi_\alpha(x)\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty. \quad (21)$$

Then A is abelian.

We give an alternative proof.

Proof. First note that ϕ_α and ψ_α are c.c.p. (cf. [Sti55, Theorem 3 & 4]).

Unitizing if necessary, we may assume that A is unital. Let A^{opp} denote the opposite algebra of A . Then the canonical map $\iota: A \rightarrow A^{\text{opp}}$ is a pointwise limit of c.c.p. maps $\psi_\alpha^{\text{opp}} \circ \phi_\alpha: A \rightarrow F_\alpha \cong F_\alpha^{\text{opp}} \rightarrow A^{\text{opp}}$, hence a c.c.p. map. Moreover, since ι sends unitaries to unitaries, its multiplicative domain is the whole of A . It follows that ι is a $*$ -homomorphism and A is abelian.¹ \square

In fact, the following is true.

¹Walter's 3×3 trick shows that if the canonical map $\iota: A \rightarrow A^{\text{opp}}$ is 3-positive then A is abelian (cf. [Wal03]).

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\theta: A \rightarrow B$ be an injective $*$ -homomorphism. Suppose that there exist nets of contractive maps $\phi_\alpha: A \rightarrow F_\alpha$ and 2-contractive maps $\psi_\alpha: F_\alpha \rightarrow B$, with F_α abelian, such that for all $x \in A$,*

$$\|(\theta - \psi_\alpha \circ \phi_\alpha)(x)\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty. \quad (22)$$

Then A is abelian.

Our main tool is the following beautiful theorem of Takesaki. Let A_1 and A_2 be C^* -algebras. The *injective cross-norm* of A_1 and A_2 is defined by

$$\|x\|_\lambda := \sup |(\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2)(x)| \quad (23)$$

where φ_1 and φ_2 run over all contractive linear functionals of A_1 and A_2 , respectively. The *injective C^* -cross-norm* of A_1 and A_2 is defined by

$$\|x\|_{\min} := \sup \|(\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2)(x)\| \quad (24)$$

where π_1 and π_2 run over all unitary representations of A_1 and A_2 , respectively.

Note that we always have $\|\cdot\|_\lambda \leq \|\cdot\|_{\min}$ (cf. [Tak02, IV.4(12)]).

Theorem 3.3 (Takesaki [Tak02, Theorem IV.4.14]). *Let A_1 and A_2 be C^* -algebras. Then the norms $\|\cdot\|_{\min}$ and $\|\cdot\|_\lambda$ on $A_1 \otimes A_2$ are equal if and only if A_1 or A_2 is abelian. \square*

Equipped with Takesaki's theorem, we can now mimic the proof that nuclear C^* -algebras are tensor-nuclear² (cf. [BO08, Proposition 3.6.12]).

Proof of Theorem 3.2. We show that for any $x \in A \otimes \mathbb{M}_2$, we have $\|x\|_{\min} \leq \|x\|_\lambda$. Then Theorem 3.3 completes the proof.

Let $x \in A \otimes \mathbb{M}_2$. The map

$$\theta \otimes_{\min} \text{id}_{\mathbb{M}_2}: A \otimes_{\min} \mathbb{M}_2 \rightarrow B \otimes_{\min} \mathbb{M}_2 \quad (25)$$

is an injective $*$ -homomorphism, hence an isometry. Thus

$$\|x\|_{A \otimes_{\min} \mathbb{M}_2} = \|\theta \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{M}_2}(x)\|_{B \otimes_{\min} \mathbb{M}_2}. \quad (26)$$

Writing x as the sum of elementary tensors, we see that

$$\|(\theta - \psi_\alpha \circ \phi_\alpha) \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{M}_2}(x)\|_{B \otimes_{\min} \mathbb{M}_2} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty. \quad (27)$$

Hence

$$\|x\|_{A \otimes_{\min} \mathbb{M}_2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\psi_\alpha \circ \phi_\alpha) \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{M}_2}(x)\|_{B \otimes_{\min} \mathbb{M}_2}. \quad (28)$$

On the other hand, it follows from the assumptions that the maps

$$\phi_\alpha \otimes_\lambda \text{id}_{\mathbb{M}_2}: A \otimes_\lambda \mathbb{M}_2 \rightarrow F_\alpha \otimes_\lambda \mathbb{M}_2 \quad \text{and} \quad (29)$$

$$\psi_\alpha \otimes_{\min} \text{id}_{\mathbb{M}_2}: F_\alpha \otimes_{\min} \mathbb{M}_2 \rightarrow B \otimes_{\min} \mathbb{M}_2 \quad (30)$$

are contractions and since F_α is abelian, the canonical map

$$F_\alpha \otimes_{\min} \mathbb{M}_2 \rightarrow F_\alpha \otimes_\lambda \mathbb{M}_2 \quad (31)$$

²It is actually closer to the proof of the fact that exact C^* -algebras with Lance's weak expectation property are tensor-nuclear.

is an isometry by Theorem 3.3. Hence, we have

$$\|(\psi_\alpha \circ \phi_\alpha) \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{M}_2}(x)\|_{B \otimes_{\min} \mathbb{M}_2} \leq \|\phi_\alpha \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{M}_2}(x)\|_{F_\alpha \otimes_{\min} \mathbb{M}_2} \quad (32)$$

$$= \|\phi_\alpha \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{M}_2}(x)\|_{F_\alpha \otimes_\lambda \mathbb{M}_2} \quad (33)$$

$$\leq \|x\|_{A \otimes_\lambda \mathbb{M}_2}. \quad (34)$$

It follows that $\|x\|_{\min} \leq \|x\|_\lambda$. \square

REFERENCES

- [Bla06] B. Blackadar, *Operator algebras*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 122, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006, Theory of C^* -algebras and von Neumann algebras, Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, III. MR 2188261 (2006k:46082)
- [BO08] Nathaniel P. Brown and Narutaka Ozawa, *C^* -algebras and finite-dimensional approximations*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 88, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008. MR 2391387 (2009h:46101)
- [Cho72] Man Duen Choi, *Positive linear maps on C^* -algebras*, Canad. J. Math. **24** (1972), 520–529. MR 0315460 (47 #4009)
- [DCH85] Jean De Cannière and Uffe Haagerup, *Multipliers of the Fourier algebras of some simple Lie groups and their discrete subgroups*, Amer. J. Math. **107** (1985), no. 2, 455–500. MR 784292 (86m:43002)
- [HT83] Tadasi Huruya and Jun Tomiyama, *Completely bounded maps of C^* -algebras*, J. Operator Theory **10** (1983), no. 1, 141–152. MR 715564 (85f:46108a)
- [Loe75] Richard I. Loebl, *Contractive linear maps on C^* -algebras*, Michigan Math. J. **22** (1975), no. 4, 361–366 (1976). MR 0397423 (53 #1282)
- [Ped79] Gert K. Pedersen, *C^* -algebras and their automorphism groups*, London Mathematical Society Monographs, vol. 14, Academic Press Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], London, 1979. MR 548006 (81e:46037)
- [Smi83] R. R. Smith, *Completely bounded maps between C^* -algebras*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **27** (1983), no. 1, 157–166. MR 686514 (84g:46086)
- [Smi85] ———, *Completely contractive factorizations of C^* -algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **64** (1985), no. 3, 330–337. MR 813204 (87e:46076)
- [Sti55] W. Forrest Stinespring, *Positive functions on C^* -algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **6** (1955), 211–216. MR 0069403 (16,1033b)
- [Tak02] M. Takesaki, *Theory of operator algebras. I*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 124, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002, Reprint of the first (1979) edition, Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, 5. MR 1873025 (2002m:46083)
- [Tom82] Jun Tomiyama, *On the difference of n -positivity and complete positivity in C^* -algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **49** (1982), no. 1, 1–9. MR 680854 (84c:46061)
- [TT61] Jun Tomiyama and Masamichi Takesaki, *Applications of fibre bundles to the certain class of C^* -algebras*, Tôhoku Math. J. (2) **13** (1961), 498–522. MR 0139025 (25 #2465)
- [Wal03] Martin E. Walter, *Algebraic structures determined by 3 by 3 matrix geometry*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (2003), no. 7, 2129–2131 (electronic). MR 1963763 (2004c:46137)

TATIANA SHULMAN, SIENA COLLEGE, 515 LOUDON ROAD, LOUDONVILLE, NY 12211, USA

OTGONBAYAR UUYE, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, CARDIFF UNIVERSITY, SENGHEN-NYDD ROAD, CARDIFF, WALES, UK, CF24 4AG